



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,187		07/09/2003	Ann M. Lees	10797-004005	5109
26161	7590	08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARL	DSON PC	RINAUDO, JO ANN S		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1644	
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/616,187	LEES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jo Ann Rinaudo	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-71 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/616,187

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, 12-20, 29-31, 34-38, 44-48, 54, 56, 58, 59, 62 and 63 are drawn to a humanized, chimeric or human antibody to LBP-2 wherein the polypeptide is SEQ ID NO:47, a polyclonal antibody, a labeled antibody, a pharmaceutical composition containing the antibody, and a hybridoma producing the antibody, classified in Class 530, subclasses 387.1, 387.3, 388.1, 388.25, and 389.3 and Class 435, subclass 326.
 - II. Claims 1-6, 12-20, 29-31, 34-38, 44-48, 54, 56, 58, 59, 62 and 63 are drawn to a humanized, chimeric or human antibody to LBP-2 wherein the polypeptide is SEQ ID NO:43, a polyclonal antibody, a labeled antibody, a pharmaceutical composition containing the antibody, and a hybridoma producing the antibody, classified in Class 530, subclasses 387.1, 387.3, 388.1, 388.25, and 389.3 and Class 435, subclass 326.
 - III. Claims 1-5, 7-19, 21-30, 32, 33, 39-43, 49-53, 55, 57, 60, 61, 64 and 65 are drawn to a humanized, chimeric or human antibody to LBP-2 wherein the polypeptide is SEQ ID NO:2, a polyclonal antibody, a labeled antibody, a pharmaceutical composition containing the antibody, and a hybridoma producing the antibody, classified in Class 530, subclasses 387.1, 387.3, 388.1, 388.25, and 389.3 and Class 435, subclass 326.
 - IV. Claims 1-5, 7-19, 21-30, 32, 33, 39-43, 49-53, 55, 57, 60, 61, 64 and 65 are drawn to a humanized, chimeric or human antibody to LBP-2 wherein the polypeptide is SEQ ID NO:7, a polyclonal antibody, a labeled antibody, a pharmaceutical composition containing the antibody, and a hybridoma

Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

producing the antibody, classified in Class 530, subclasses 387.1, 387.3, 388.1, 388.25, and 389.3 and Class 435, subclass 326.

- V. Claims 66-71 are drawn to a method of detection using a labeled antibody to LBP-2, wherein the antibody is from polypeptide SEQ ID NO:47, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1
- VI. Claims 66-71 are drawn to a method of detection using a labeled antibody to LBP-2, wherein the antibody is from polypeptide SEQ ID NO:43, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1
- VII. Claims 66-71 are drawn to a method of detection using a labeled antibody to LBP-2, wherein the antibody is from polypeptide SEQ ID NO:2, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1
- VIII. Claims 66-71 are drawn to a method of detection using a labeled antibody to LBP-2, wherein the antibody is from polypeptide SEQ ID NO:7, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1
- 2. Groups I-IV and V-VIII are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the products as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Groups I-IV can be used for affinity purification, in addition to the methods of detecting LBP-2.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,187

Art Unit: 1644

3. Groups I-IV are different products. The products are patentably distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and /or mode of action are different. In the instant case, the antibodies are either (1) directed to different antigens encompassing different amino acid sequence, (2) comprising ligands with distinct molecular structures, or (3) being engineered differently. Further, they required non-coextensive searches in the scientific literature. Therefore, each product is patentably distinct

Page 4

- 4. Groups V-VIII are different methods. The methods differ with respect to one or more ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints. In the instant case, the methods are either for detecting a different proteins or using a different imaging process.
- 5. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Moreover, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. *Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product* will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,187 Page 5

Art Unit: 1644

7. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. *Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder*.

- 8. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,187 Page 6

Art Unit: 1644

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jo Ann Rinaudo whose telephone number is (571) 272-8143. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jo Ann Rinaudo, Ph.D.

08/02/05

Patent Examiner

ATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER